

## REMARKS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner, Mr. Grosso, and Mr. Anthony Stashick for the telephone interview which occurred on Tuesday, October 17, 2006. Applicant had submitted a proposed amendment which was discussed during the telephone interview. The parties discussed the following cited prior art used in the prior art rejections: U.S. Patent No. 5,725,118 issued to Slager et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,320,244 issued to Yu.

The Examiner agreed that Applicant's cancellation of claims 7, 8, 11, 20-22 and 26, overcame the Examiner's objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) and rejection of claims 7, 8, 20, 21 and 22 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Applicant does not agree with either one of the Examiner's objection and rejection. However, in order to simplify the number of remaining issues, Applicant cancelled claims 7, 8, 11, 20-22 and 26. Nothing stated in the Examiner's description of the telephone interview shall be construed as being limiting or implying agreement by Applicant. Applicant has additional arguments regarding the Examiner's drawing objection and rejection of claims 7, 8, 20, 21 and 22 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, which Applicant need not make at this time due to Applicant's cancellation of claims 7, 8, 11, 20-22 and 26.

If any charges or credits are necessary to complete this communication, please apply them to Deposit Account No. 23-3000. If any questions remain, or the Examiner wishes to discuss this matter, a telephone call to undersigned would be greatly appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

By: J. Dwight Poffenberger, Jr./

J. Dwight Poffenberger, Jr.

Reg. No. 35,324

411 Vine Street  
2700 Carew Tower  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
(513) 241-2324  
(513) 241-6234 (fax)